CASE NO. 1:16-cv-119

COURT

.... 1 4 2016

United States District Cours . C . Southern District of Texas

JUL **1 4** 2016

IN THE

David J. Bradley, Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

THE DESIGNATED DOCUMENTS TO THE FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS REQUESTED, TO INCLUDE COMPLE-TE DOCKET SHEET FALE OF FILED COMPLAINT, AND ALL COMMUNICATED INSTRUMENTS TOFFROM..., COMMUNICATED BY EMAIL, TELEPHONE, AIRLINES TO BE PERFECTED AND FORWARDED TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ET AL Plaintiffs-Appellants

BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA ET AL Defendants-Appellees

NOTICE FOR THE

DIRECT APPEAL FROM UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT To Take of The San A good

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

AGGREY-KWEGGYIRR GEGAL SCHOLAR, Pro se arunga & lee POST OFFICE BOX 11521 EUGENE, OR 97440-3721

1/ Original filed before July 5, 2016.

SABBATH" AND BELIEVE IN IT WORD OF THE HOLY WZEE KAGWA ARUNGANGOTA SAKWASAKWA AKA JAMES AGGREY-KWEGGYIRR ARUNGA, SABBATHIAN --- A SERVANT OF AND THE WORD OF GOD TO 'ALL YE PEOPLE OF



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ET AL Plaintiffs

BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA ET AL Defendants

ARUNGA'S LEGAL INSTRUMENT IMPARTING SPECIFIC STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTIONAL APPIRMATIVE DEPENSES FOR CAUSE ON MERITS PLEADS.

- pro se's Complaint with legalieristience, in consistent with required JURISDICTIONS of defined Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules 9(a)(1)(C), 9(b)-(g) and 10(c) at Law and Fact, deems justified Matigation against designated defendants-Barack Hussein Obama et al and Obama-Biden Imperial Administrations' Expulsion Directives'-Fuzes. Id.
- based on maundering delusions and Footnote-1 of Appendix-23, amounts to heinous vexations fabricated by magistatelawyer. Thus lune 14,2016 OFFICE is, rejected emphatically by Arunga educated Legal Scholar. To wit, Appendixes 1,2, 8, 9, 12,

13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and APPENDIXES 23 (1)-23 (2) forum dipict magistrate a lawyers; and that neither said nihilists nor Torteya will intimidate Pro se Arunga from exercising his afforded due-edgual. Rights of Americanjurtsprudence a stagle magistratejudge now has mysterious "punishable offenses"upon which said magistrate has jurisdiction against Arunga. Nobody-is preventing Torteya from Empanelling criminal jury trial to proceed against James Aggrey-Kweggyirr Arunga—naturally born gifted special CHILD of KenyaHebrews; ScabbathianChristian; de not believe in criming/crimes; civil violations nor believes in limitated by Criminal incompletes in limitated by Criminal finaglings". Pro se does not believe in immoralities and toxics buddybodies—interested re-operate another openist; bagnio of CarnalBeha-viorAndrogynous mental abnormalities for con-OBAMACARELEGACY.

(d) I, James Aggrey-Kweggyirr Arvanga and pro se in D.C.Case No.

1:16-cv-119, declare under penalty of perjury that I am execution. I this instrument in deemed honesty according to the best and truthfully defined: the Rights of American Jurisprudence--a-c, Supra; and in consistent with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure designated, id; in consistent with USCA18:241

1956-57; USCA28:2101(b)/spm.Court Rule18; Amendments I, VII, IX, XIV(1); Article I Section 8, Clause 10, Section 9, Clause 3; Article III, Section 2-3; Article VI--Supreme Law of the Land/September 1787-December 1791 Di-Martin VII.

PedSupp (Pro se is Regall-Scholar on MRRITS) Peruse The Constitution of United States of America, Johnny H. Killian and Leland E. Beck(edtrs)/Library of Congress-U.S.Govt. Pring 1987, Pages 1-915 et seq; Complaint, Pages 1-ii, 1-2; 9-10; 13-18; Exhibits A. A-A(N), A-A(N), A-5 through A-20 and A-23.

- (e) Denying Pro se issuance of SUMMONS to his filed Complation,
 said DENIAL impanels dichotomus vexations, U.S. V.Lovett, 328U.S.303:
 - 1. denies the Constitutionality--PrincipalAuthority, per curam, September 1787-Convention's Manifest intactRegard PreambleProvisions, Dehors;
 - 2. denies the Constitutionality--RatificationPower, per December 1791 -- Bill of Rights Convention, see Grandeur Standing in jointenactment of 1791-Amendment I, Judicial & Bight to be a patricular VI, Judicial Speedy Trial; \$20,00+ Right for Judicial Jurisdiction in consistent with FRCP, Rule 8(a)(1)(Complaint:Exhibits A, A-4(h), A-4(i), A-20, Amendment VII; 1868-Amendment XIV Due Process and Equa Equal Protection of Law(DichotomyWrit/Supreme Law of the Land). Complaint, Pages 13-18. Norse v. SCCC, U.S. . Accordingly, Magistrate's ORDER of June 14, 2016 is cult Ochlocracy in consistent with Imperial Expulsion Judiolal Fainaiguings-Fuzes rejected as unAmerican and banned attainder targeting to destroy Pro se Arunga and indispensa: ble partyPlaintiffs, similarly, situated. Complaint, Page 4. CATEGORICALLY, Appeal from United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, Brownsville Division, Langue CED in consistent with required statutory USCA28: 2101(b) causality and as per Supreme Court Rule 18, Article III, Sections 1-2, Clauses 2-3 and Section 2 Clause3 et seq-Article VI, Clause 2; also, Article I, Section: 8, Clause 10 and Section 9, Clause 3, against Designated Defendants. Marquez v. Hardin, 339 F. Supp 1364; DOUGALL V. Sugarman. 339 F.Supp 906/413 U.S.643(1973); Arunga v.NYC, __ Vicon Case, 657 F.2d 768; Johnson Case, 🕍 862 F.2d 975; Lynch Case, 405U.S.538; Bender Case, 475 U.S.534. U.S. v.Lovetts, 328 u.s. 303, Norse v. SCCC.

WHEREFOR, Pro se and indispensable partyPlaintiffs are entitled to JUDICIAL HEARING, under Article III Jurisdiction and consistent with Supreme Law of the Land(F)ichotomyWrit-Septmber 1787-December 1791-Dehors). That, NOTICE FOR DIRECT APPEAL TO U.S. Court of aspeals, Strict is hereby, filled in the United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, timely, the within 30-days, and that complete is the Case To. 1:16-cv-119, including Docket sheet and all other communications in variegated forms pertaining to plaintiffs' filed Complaint of above designated D.C number are designated to be perfected /forwarded to the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, New Orleans, LA:

Respectfully noticedon June 2016, by:

JAMES AGGREY-KWEGGYIRR ARUNGA BEGAL SCHOLAR, Pro se**

ARUNGA AND LEE POST OFFICE BOX 11521 EUGENE, OR 97440-3721.

^{**}Note: Barack Hussein Obama, William Jefferson-Hillary D.Rodham Clintons and Obama-Biden Imperial Administrations do not have Lawyers in the United States to defend them in this; heard, by jury either as ciwil or criminal case, by medinglemost state Ignacio Torteya, the Larrange of the Designated Defendants. The Bible does not lie-Romans 8:13-39. Mr. Magistrate, don't backoff from filing a criminal Action, based on your denified issues fatal. The bible of Benses (12:8-1) "

Distributed -- CIADirector, Hon. Brennan; FBIDirector, Hon. James Comey; Hon. Charles Grassley, Chair SenateJadcry: Committee; Hon. Michael McCaul, House Chair on Intgnc.; Hon. Lindsey Graham, Great Senator for S.C. Hon. Chief Justice, State of Texas; Rev. Billy Graham (long time Friend and greatSpiritualLeader), we met in Shaurimoyo Park to hear the SPOKEN WORD, In Nairobi, Kenya, in 50 Smill Himan. Rights Commission; OAU; ICC; Kelly's FILE; Register Guard for National NewsMedia; Kenya National; BBC; AND THE BUSH FAMILY.

Civil rights hero caught in corruption probe to begin serving sentence APPENDIX

By Wayne Drash, CNN



Bobby DeLaughterwork and ass convicted of obstruction of justice

STORY HIGHLIGHTS

- Bobby DeLaughter was nalled as per affer convicting the killer of Medgar Evers DeLaughter is headed to prison after pleading guilly line correspond probe. The man has now been destroyed: "says Medgar Evers brother."

 DeLaughter's attorney: "The penalty he's paying is enormous."


PPENDIX %-23(c)

The notion that judges are immune from criminal prosecution is frivolous. (See #Slade v. United States, 85 F.2d 786 (CA10 1936) (Judge bribed juror to acquit a defendant, judge convicted of bribery); United States v. Manton, 107 F.2d 834 (CA2 1939) (Court of Appeals judge involved in bribes to influence decisions); United States v. Kahaner, 317 F.2d 459 (CA2 1963) (State judge and former AUSAs, McDonald v. Alabama, 57 Ala. App. 529, 329 So.2d 583 (1975), sex for leniency); United States v. Hastings, 681 F.2d 706 (CA11 1982) (This was pre-trial appeal, and later Alcee won criminal case); United States v. Campbell; 684 F.2d 141 (D.C. Cir. 1982) (traffic tickets, judge and gratuity); United States v. Claiborne, 765 F.2d 784 (CA9 1985) (see Harry's vindication, State Bar of Nevada v. Claiborne, 756 P.2d 464 (Nev. 1988)); United States v. Murphy, 768 F.2d 1518 (7th Cir. 1985) (Greylord); United States v. Conn, 769 F.2d 420 (CA7 1985) (Greylord); United States v. Hollaway, 778 F.2d 653 (11th Cir. 1985) (Two Mobile state court judges); United States v. Devine, 787 F.2d 1086 (CA7 1986) (Greylord); United States v. LeFevour, 798 F.2d 977 (7th Cir. 1986) (Greylord); United States v. Nixon, 816 F.2d 1022 (CA5 1987) (habe at 881 F.2d 1305 (5th Cir. 1989) U.S. District Judge convicted of bribery); United States v. Holzer, 816 F.2d 304 (CA7 1987) (Greylord); United States v. Reynolds, 821 F.2d 427 (CA7 1987) (Greylord); United States v. Glecier, 923 F.2d 496 (CA7 1991) (Greylord); U.S. v. Lanier, 520 U.S. 259 (1997); Archie, et al., v. Lanier, No.94-5836 (CA6 1996) (constitutional rights are violated when state judge repeatedly rapes a number of women in chambers, 18 USC § 242 conviction); State of Oklahoma v. Thompson, Creek County #CF-2005-016 (May, Aug., Sept. of 2003, three counts indecent exposure, judge Donald D. Thompson attached "a penis pump and operated the pump causing air pumping sounds" according to witness, Lisa Foster, court reporter).

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICE OF THE CLERK WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001

July 7, 2016

James Aggrey-Kweggyir Arunga P.O. Box 11521 Eugene, OR 97440-3721



Dear Mr. Arunga:

The various papers were received on July 6, 2016. These papers fail to comply with the Rules of this Court and are herewith returned.

You may seek review of a decision only by filing a timely petition for writ of certiorari. The papers you submitted are not construed to be a petition for writ of certiorari. Should you choose to file a petition for writ of certiorari, you must submit the petition within the 90 day time limit allowed under Rule 13 of the Rules of this Court. A Copy of the Rules of this Court and a sample petition for a writ of certiorari are enclosed.

Your case must first be reviewed by a United States court of appeals or by the highest state court in which a decision could be had. 28 USC 1254 and 1257.

Sincerely,

Scott 8. Harris, Clerk

ob C. Travers

(202) 479-3039

Enclosures

United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700 600 S. MAESTRI PLACE NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

July 22, 2016

Mr. David J. Bradley Southern District of Texas, Brownsville United States District Court 600 E. Harrison Street Room 1158 Brownsville, TX 78520

United States Government et al v. Obama et al USDC no. 1:16-cv-00119

I am forwarding a notice of appeal erroneously sent to us. We have noted the date received here. When you file the notice of appeal, please use that date, see FED R. App. P. 4(d).

Also enclosed, please find pro se's application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis which was sent to this court. Please notify us once you've acted on the application.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk

Dohnty

By:

Donna L. Mendez, Deputy Clerk 504-310-7677